

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/797,124	NAKAYAMA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Rodney Amadiz	2629	

All Participants:

(1) Rodney Amadiz.

(2) Melvin Kraus.

Status of Application: Non Final

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 January 2008

Time: 1 pm

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1 and 7

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner proposed changes to claims 1 & 7 in order to avoid a lack of antecedent basis. The Attorney agreed to the changes. Please see the Claim Objections. The Attorney was called on Tuesday, February 05, 2008 and notified that an allowance will not be mailed, but rather an Office Action pertaining to 112 issues will be mailed...